

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

) It

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,991	01/04/2002	John K. Junkers	1847	7858
75	90 04/01/2003			
Striker, Striker & Stenby			EXAMINER	
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			MEISLIN, DEBRA S	
Trummgton, 141	117.13		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			ARTONI	TALER NOMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			CM
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~~n
Advisory Action	10/037,991	JUNKERS ET AL.	
Turiouty Housin	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Debra S. Meislin	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	'ess
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the supplication of the su	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
3. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>rejection of claims 1-4 uno</u>	ler 35 USC 112, secon	nd paragraph.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:	,	•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4 and 5.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>13 Marc</u>	h = 2003 is a) □ approved or b)	oxtimes disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			
		Debra S. Meislin	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AL OHIL OIZO	

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the structure of "the second piston rod" remains unclear in view of the specification and drawings.

To place the application into condition for allowance the following changes are required:

In the specification, page 8, lines 15-16, "one of the pistions... extends to the right" should be changed to --the housing has a rod 20 which extends to the left--.

In the drawings, figure 2, the rod must be labeled using reference numeral "20" (or an appropriate number chosen by applicant). The area of the pistons must also be numbered with corresponding numbers added to the specification. Descriptions must not be added the the drawings.

In claim 5, line 25, "other piston having a second piston rod" should be changed to --housing having a rod--. In line 26, --and slidably extending within said opposite side of said other piston-- should be inserted following "rod".

Claims 1 and 4 should be canceled since the examiner remains of the opinion that the applied references disclose the claimed subject matter as set forth in the previous office action.